## Case 3:12-cv-02265-SI Document 91 Filed 12/30/14 Page 1 of 32

	1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
3	PORTLAND DIVISION
4	
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) 3:12-cv-02265-SI )
6	Plaintiff, )
7	v. ) December 19, 2013 )
8	THE CITY OF PORTLAND, )
9	Defendant. ) ) Portland, Oregon
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
15	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL H. SIMON
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2 1 APPEARANCES 2 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 3 Adrian Brown Billy Williams United States Attorney's Office 1000 SW Third Avenue, Suite 600 5 Portland, OR 97204 6 R. Jonas Alexander Geissler U.S. Department of Justice Civil Rights Division 7 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530 8 FOR THE DEFENDANT CITY OF PORTLAND: 9 Harry Auerbach 10 Ellen C. Osoinach David Woboril 11 City of Portland Office of the City Attorney 12 1221 SW 4th Avenue, Suite 430 Portland, OR 97204 13 14 FOR THE PORTLAND POLICE ASSOCIATION: 15 Anil Karia Tedesco Law Group 16 3021 NE Broadway Portland, OR 97232 17 18 FOR ENHANCED AMICUS CURIAE: 19 Shauna M. Curphey Curphey & Badger, P.A. 20 520 SW Sixth Avenue, Suite 1040 Portland, OR 97204 21 22 23 24 COURT REPORTER: Dennis W. Apodaca, RDR, RMR, FCRR, CRR United States District Courthouse 25 1000 SW Third Avenue, Room 301 Portland, OR 97204

MR. AUERBACH: May it please the Court, I am Harry Auerbach, acting city attorney.

THE COURT: All right. I have received the unopposed amended motion for order to set procedures for fairness hearing. I have reviewed it. I have some comments and some suggested changes. Basically let me start by congratulating all four parties on getting to this point. I think that you have obviously worked very hard, very diligently, and in the best interests, not only of your respective clients, but I think also of the community, the city, the state, and the country, as a whole. So I congratulate you all on reaching this stage.

Now, to be precise, I have not made any findings on the settlement agreement. That's why we need to have a fairness hearing. I appreciate the proposed procedures that you have all presented to me. By and large, I'm prepared to accept those.

Here is what I mean by "by and large." Let me ask my law clerk, Nicholle Winters, to distribute to all four parties two copies of each of four documents, and then I will explain what they are and give you an opportunity to review them.

All right. Let's start in the following order:
The order setting notice and procedures for fairness
hearing. Then Exhibit A to that is the testimony form.

Exhibit B to that is the notice of fairness hearing that I envisioned will be sent by the parties to various community organizations, media organizations, and placed on the various parties' websites, and that has a footer at the bottom of Exhibit B.

Finally, a separate document that is very similar in content that is headed "Information regarding Proposed Settlement Agreement and Fairness Agreement," and my thinking is that this is what will be posted on the Court's website.

Frankly, let me start by looking at this document with you all, and then I will give you time to read everything. By the way, I don't think that anyone here will see anything substantively or materially different from what you are proposing, but I thought we needed to find a way to make sure that the public had easy and efficient access to several documents: The complaint, the proposed settlement agreement, the notice, and obviously the testimonial form.

So what I am suggesting, and I will be glad to take feedback from any of you, is that this document that begins "Information Regarding Proposed Settlement Agreement and Fairness Hearing" be placed on the U.S. District Court for the District of Oregon website. It contains several links. If you take a look at the second

page of this document where it says "key documents," my expectation is that these are all the hyperlinks that would take the viewer to the complaint that has been filed, the proposed settlement agreement, the Court order setting notice, the notice of fairness hearing, and the testimony form can be printed off and used. So that would go on the Court's website.

With respect to the Order Setting Notice and Procedure for Fairness Hearing, I understand that all parties are requesting a fairness hearing on February 18th. Although your document listed the year as 1014 -- that's about a thousand years ago -- I think we should do it in the year 2014. I'm accepting your suggested date of February 18th at 9:00 a.m. in this courtroom.

I've made a few minor changes here. For example, you will see that in the middle of page 2, I am suggesting that the testimony form can be sent by e-mail if people wished. You're certainly welcome to deliver it to the clerk's office by hand. They are certainly welcome to mail it to the Court. If they want to e-mail, they can e-mail it to my courtroom deputy, Ms. Austad. We modified that.

There are a few minor changes at the bottom of page 2 in the paragraph that deal with the form submitted.

There are a few minor changes to the bottom paragraph on page 3. Then the top three lines at the top of page 4 are added. I do think that it would be valuable for the United States -- maybe you are already planning this -- but I think it would be valuable for the United States to provide the notice of the fairness hearing and the testimony form to, among other organizations, The National Alliance on Mental Illness, Bud Clark Commons, the JOIN organization, and Project Respond.

So I have added that to the top of page 4. Then there are some very minor changes in procedure in the first full paragraph on page 4. So that's the Order Setting Notice and Procedures for Fairness Hearing.

Then on Exhibit A, your testimony form, we've really only made some very minor changes. In the middle of the first page, we are making reference to the website. So these documents are available online at the U.S. District Court's website.

Then on page 3, the mailing address and the e-mail address, the e-mail address I have added, the e-mail address of my courtroom deputy, Ms. Austad. Then for the mailing address, we have added a special line for fairness hearing comments. It will make it easier for our mail room. I think that's it for Exhibit A.

I don't think we made any changes to Exhibit B.

If they are, they are very minor. I'm sorry; my mistake. Exhibit B is new. This is a notice that we wanted to present to you all that could go to the community organizations, the media organizations, and if you wished, posted on the parties' websites, at least our objective was to provide relatively plain-English information about what the case is about and what the purpose of the fairness hearing is and the procedures for that.

So we would release that to the media. The parties would be free, indeed encouraged, to release to their media lists, to distribute it to the community organizations that this notice should go to, and, frankly, our recommendation would be that the parties put it, as appropriate, on their websites. Anyway, enough said on that.

So would you like to take a few minutes to read these documents, and then I will ask you any suggested changes either to any of the text or any of the processes?

Do you want to take some time to read it?

MR. GEISSLER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: What do you recommend? Five minutes? Ten minutes?

MR. GEISSLER: Five.

THE COURT: We will be in recess for five minutes, and then I will come back.

(Recess.)

(Open court; proceedings resumed:)

THE COURT: All right. Let me turn this back over to you. Tell me whether or not you believe I have made any errors or can do it any better, whether it be suggested changes to the text, to the procedures, or whether you think I'm just going the wrong direction in something, but it is awfully close to your suggested directions.

Let's start with counsel for the Government.

MR. GEISSLER: Thank you, Your Honor.

In the first paragraphs, Your Honor, of the two documents drafted by Your Honor's chambers, Information regarding Proposed Settlement Agreement and Fairness Hearing, and the second one, Notice of Fairness Hearing, we have consistent changes in both documents.

Let me begin with the Information regarding Proposed Settlement Agreement and Fairness Hearing.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. GEISSLER: It currently reads, "The United States Department of Justice has concluded." We would ask the Court to change that to mirror the language of our findings letter wherein we say, "We found reasonable cause to believe."

THE COURT: "The United States Department of

Justice has found reasonable cause to believe." 1 2 MR. GEISSLER: Striking the word "concluded"; 3 continuing "the Portland Police Department against the Departments of Bureau." 4 THE COURT: I am sorry. Yes, of course. 5 MR. GEISSLER: "Has an unconstitutional 6 pattern"; strike "and" and replace it with "or," so it is 7 8 "pattern or practice" -- to mirror the language of the 9 statute -- "of using excessive force against persons with"; then insert "actual or perceived" in front of 10 11 "mental illness." 12 The next sentence, Your Honor, we would ask the Court to change as follows: "Based on that finding" 13 rather than "conclusion." 14 15 THE COURT: All right. MR. GEISSLER: With that, I believe this 16 17 document is acceptable. I don't have any other objections 18 to it. I think the other parties may have some other 19 comments on it. 20 If I may, Your Honor, I would turn to the Notice 21 of Fairness Hearing document. 22 THE COURT: Yes. 23 MR. GEISSLER: Returning to the first sentence, 24 just like the last document, "The United States Department

of Justice has found reasonable cause to believe"; strike

25

the word "concluded"; "that the Portland Police Bureau"; strike the word "department"; "has an unconstitutional pattern or"; strike the word "and"; "practice of using excessive force against persons with actual or perceived" mental illness. This one has the "actual or perceived language."

THE COURT: I'm following you.

MR. GEISSLER: Second sentence, "Based on that finding"; strike the word "conclusion." Then the rest of the document remains the same.

THE COURT: Okay. Before I go to the other parties, as I hear it then, the United States has other suggested changes on those two documents. Any suggested changes or comments on either the testimony form or the order itself?

MR. GEISSLER: I have none right now. I beg Your Honor's pardon if we may continue to review them as the other parties comment.

THE COURT: Certainly.

MR. GEISSLER: I would note, with the permission of the other parties, on page 4 of Your Honor's Order Setting Notice and Procedures for Fairness Hearing, all parties, including the United States, would be provided — the United States specifically would be provided copies, via electronic mail, if known, or first

class mail to all individuals that have contacted us.

We would be providing copies of substantive documents. This would necessitate that we would send documents to individuals who are represented by the PPA and AMA. It is my understanding that the AMA does not have an objection. I invite the PPA as well to voice their opinion on that.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Geissler.

Let me first go to the City and then I will go to the Portland Police Association and then to AMA.

First of all, for the City, with respect to the proposed changes that the United States has to that paragraph in the Information regarding Proposed Settlement and Notice of Fairness Hearing, the overview-of-the-case paragraph, any objections?

MR. WOBORIL: We appreciate the change and feel it is accurate.

THE COURT: Any other comments that the City wishes to make, either suggested text changes, processes, or other points that the City wants to make?

MS. OSOINACH: No, Judge. We are in agreement with all the information provided.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Karia, on behalf of the police association,

first of all, any comments to the Government's proposed 1 2 changes to the overview-of-the-case paragraphs? 3 MR. KARIA: Those are acceptable, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. With respect to the point 4 5 that Mr. Geissler makes about sending the notices, as directed, if it turns out that there was some comments 6 7 received directly from some of your clients, some of the 8 union members, any objection to the United States sending 9 out the items that I am directing be sent even though they 10 may end up being sent to some of your clients if they are 11 in response to communications received? 12 MS. KARIA: No, sir. 13 THE COURT: Any other suggested comments or 14 changes to text, processes, or anything else? 15 MS. KARIA: No, sir. 16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you so much. 17 Ms. Curphey, same questions. First, any 18 comments to the Government's proposed changes to the 19 overview-of-the-case paragraph? 20 MS. CURPHEY: No, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: First of all, we will make those 22 changes. That's fine. Thank you very much. 23 Any comments or reactions to Mr. Geissler's 24 comment that, under this order, I would be directing the

Government to send certain notices to whomever provided or

25

communicated with them, as on page 4 of this order, even 1 2 if some of them might be AMA members? Any objections to 3 that process? 4 MS. CURPHEY: No objection. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Any other suggested changes to text, processes, or any other comments? 6 7 MS. CURPHEY: Yes, Your Honor. With regard to 8 the overview of the case, on both the Information 9 regarding Proposed Settlement and the Notice of Fairness 10 Hearing, Exhibits C and B respectively, there is a 11 sentence -- I think it is the third sentence down that 12 says, "The settlement agreement has been considered and deemed fair and reasonable." 13 14 THE COURT: One second. I am not following you. 15 So which document? We are talking Information regarding 16 Proposed Settlement? 17 MS. CURPHEY: Right. We will start with that 18 one. 19 THE COURT: Which paragraph? 20 MS. CURPHEY: First paragraph, the overview of 21 the case. 22 THE COURT: Yes. "The proposed settlement 23 agreement has been reviewed and deemed fair and 24 reasonable." 25 Go ahead.

```
MS. CURPHEY: "By the Portland Police
 1
    Association and the Albina Ministerial Coalition for
 2
 3
    Justice and Police Reform." The Albina Ministerial
    Alliance would like to suggest that the sentence end after
 4
    "the Portland Police Association" and then a new sentence
 5
    begin, "The Albina Ministerial Coalition for Justice and
 6
 7
    Police Reform agrees to advocate for the implementation of
 8
    the settlement agreement reforms that the AMA Coalition
9
    supports."
10
              THE COURT: Let me hear that again slowly.
11
    After the word "reform," add "agrees to advocate."
12
              MS. CURPHEY: "For the implementation."
13
              THE COURT: "For the implementation."
              MS. CURPHEY: "Of the settlement agreement
14
15
    reforms that the AMA Coalition supports."
16
              THE COURT: All right. That seems reasonable to
17
    me.
18
              Any objection from the Government? From the
    United States?
19
20
              MR. GEISSLER: I would point out only,
21
    Your Honor, in the new sentence, the word "Alliance"
22
    should be inserted between "Ministerial and Coalition."
23
    But no objection otherwise, Your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: It should be "the Albina Ministerial
25
    Alliance Coalition." Is that what you're saying?
```

```
MR. GEISSLER: Yes, Your Honor.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: You agree with that?
 3
              MS. CURPHEY: Yes. Just to be clear, it might
    be better to have "has agreed," because it is referring to
 4
 5
    an agreement that came out of the mediation.
              THE COURT: All right. So where is "has
 6
 7
    agreed"?
              MS. CURPHEY: The sentence starts, "The Albina
 8
    Ministerial Alliance Coalition, " and then it will say "has
9
10
    agreed."
11
              THE COURT: After "Coalition," it is "The Albina
12
    Ministerial Alliance Coalition for Justice and Police
13
    Reform," right?
14
              MS. CURPHEY: Yes, Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: Then it would be "has agreed" --
              MS. CURPHEY: Correct.
16
17
              THE COURT: -- "to advocate for the
18
    implementation of the settlement agreement reforms that
19
    the AMA Coalition supports."
20
              Would that change the United States' position?
21
    Any problem?
22
              MR. GEISSLER: Yes, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: Is the City all right with that?
24
              MS. OSOINACH: I apologize. I haven't had a
25
    chance to talk to Ms. Curphey or her client, but I wonder
```

if they would object to the sentence reading, "The AMA Coalition does not object to the acceptance of the settlement agreement and has agreed to advocate." That's just language from the collaborative agreement.

THE COURT: I'm not precisely following. Take a moment and talk to Ms. Curphey what you are referring to, and then I will hear from you both.

(Pause in proceedings.)

MS. CURPHEY: Thank you for your patience,
Your Honor. My client is willing to accept the City's
suggestion.

THE COURT: Give it to me slowly. Where we have the previous sentence ends right after "Portland Police Association." Then we pick up with a new sentence. "The Albina Ministerial Alliance Coalition for Justice and Police Reform." Now what, slowly?

MS. OSOINACH: It would be, "Does not object to the acceptance of the settlement agreement."

THE COURT: One second. All right.

MS. OSOINACH: Does not object to the acceptance of the settlement agreement by the Court and has agreed," and then the language that Ms. Curphey suggested.

THE COURT: All right. It is not an independent clause. There is not going to be a comma; that's just my ruling.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The last sentence is, "The Albina Ministerial Alliance Coalition for Justice and Police Reform does not object to the acceptance of the settlement agreement by the Court and has agreed to advocate for the implementation of the settlement agreement reforms that the AMA Coalition supports." Do I have that right? MS. CURPHEY: Yes, Your Honor. MS. OSOINACH: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: So no further objections from the City on that. Any objection to any of these changes from the Portland Police Association? MS. KARIA: No, sir. THE COURT: All right. MS. CURPHEY: If I may, Your Honor, we have one other suggestion on Exhibit A, the form. The boxes at the bottom of that form, we would like one that says "other, please specify." THE COURT: Probably the logical place for that would be at the end after "agreement implementation"? MS. CURPHEY: Yes. THE COURT: "Other, please specify." All right. That seems reasonable. Any objections from any party?

MS. OSOINACH: No, Your Honor. 1 2 MS. KARIA: No, Your Honor. 3 MR. GEISSLER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Anything else? 4 MS. CURPHEY: No, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Geissler. 6 7 MR. GEISSLER: I take it, Your Honor, that the 8 same changes from the Information regarding Proposed 9 Settlement Agreement and Fairness Hearing will be incorporated into Notice of Fairness Hearing regarding the 10 11 AMA sentence? 12 THE COURT: Precisely. 13 All right. Anything else from the parties at 14 this time? 15 MS. OSOINACH: Nothing from the City. 16 THE COURT: All right. I do note that there are 17 members of the public here. Although there are limits, is 18 there any input from the public that they wish to provide 19 at this time regarding the processes? Now is not the time 20 to provide any input as to whether or not the settlement 21 agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate. That will 22 take place either in writing or in other forums or orally 23 in this courtroom on Tuesday, February 18th, beginning at 24 I will hear from anyone and everyone who wishes 9:00 a.m. to provide input at that time, consistent with this order. 25

But right now, just in terms of the processes or procedures -- I want to be fully transparent about this -- in terms of the processes and procedures that I will be following for the setting of this fairness hearing, does anyone else wish to be heard at this time? If so, you are invited to come step forward. I will ask the courtroom deputy to give you a microphone if you want to say something about the processes or procedures.

Again, please do not comment now about whether or not the settlement agreement is fair, reasonable, or adequate.

Does anyone wish to come forward?

I am seeing a hand. Please state your name for the record first.

MR. HANDELMAN: Your Honor, my name is

Dan Handelman. I am a member of Portland Copwatch. I was
reading about the limit of 20 written pages and questions
about other documents regarding the settlement agreement.

I don't know if that means that Your Honor is going to be
reading those other documents as well, which would then
perhaps exceed the 20 pages. I'm hoping if we have a
document that precedes what we're going to submit to the
Court, that that can be entered into the record without
going over that 20-page limit.

THE COURT: It is my intention to read anything

and everything that is submitted to me. If someone moves to submit something that's longer than 20 pages, just either ask for permission to go beyond it, and it will be granted, or I will deem that submission to include an implicit request for that extension, and I will consider it. Obviously what I can't do, if someone recommend that I read five or ten books before the fairness hearing, I can't do that. If there is some evidence or important information that, in reality, someone thinks I should read, I will make the effort and read it.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$$  BROWN: May I make a point of clarification on that, Your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

MS. BROWN: The purpose of that question was so that the Court and parties know whether or not the person has previously provided public comment previously, that we could then look at or find or refer to. The form doesn't request the individual to go find those other copies and attach them. It asks for a "yes" or "no" and asks them to describe it briefly.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. WALSH: My name is Joe Walsh. I represent Individuals for Justice. We would like to compliment you on opening it up to the public and thank you for doing that. It is a good start.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Walsh.

Anyone else?

MS. HARDESTY: Hello, sir. I am Jo Ann
Hardesty. I had a question about the videotaping of
testimony and wanted to know what the process would be for
Your Honor and others to be able to review that video.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Hardesty. That's a good question. Let me ask one of the parties, or counsel, if you have any insights on that.

Does anyone wish to comment on that?

MR. GEISSLER: Your Honor, I can assert that it is novel for the fairness hearings that we have engaged in, the Civil Rights Division, to have video submissions. I would imagine if the Court collects the videos, and the Court requires the assistance of the United States to have those duplicated and provided to all four parties, we would be willing to do that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Depending upon how burdensome it is for the Court, we may or may not take you up on the offer.

Ms. Hardesty, is there anything more specific I can answer in your question? Is there anything that you're driving at that I'm not following or understanding?

We want to make this as easy as possible for people to provide information or views or testimony in whatever way they feel most comfortable.

MS. HARDESTY: Thank you, Judge Simon. I appreciate the desire of the Court. As I look at the form that we're going to send out, it is a pretty intimidating-looking form. So if you are unaccustomed to speaking at the City Council or in front of a judge, I look at that form and thought that most regular people on the street, they would look at that form and be pretty overwhelmed.

I hope that does not limit people's desire to provide input. What I hope is that people will share their experience and how they think their experience fits into this settlement agreement. There are a lot of people that are just not — they are not used to speaking in front of folks. I just wanted to make sure that if we took the time to collect community video testimony, that there would be a commitment from this Court that that would not be a wasted effort and that the Court would consider that testimony.

But I'm sure it won't happen the day of the fairness hearing. So clarity on what the process will be for reviewing that document and how that feeds in, I think, would be really helpful.

THE COURT: Excellent point. Let me state this: First of all, in terms of the legalistic nature of the form, which I do recognize, but we also want some

precision too. If any community organization, community leader, or anyone wants to take their own hand to craft some type of non-official, non-court sanctioned, approved form to let folks know what's going on and how it is going on, and you want to write it in plainer English or better communication, feel free to do it. I'm not necessarily asking for anyone to submit it to me, nor am I going to put the court stamp on it. But you are all welcome to provide whatever information you want to the people that you think might want to provide input or information.

So feel free to write a cover letter or a cover notice that says: You can either read the Court's form or just read this notice or read both, if you want. That's up to you all. The objective is to get people who want to submit information to feel comfortable doing it in any way they can do it.

Now, the idea behind the DVD was just that, that if people don't want to come to court, if people want to have their testimony or their information submitted in advance, the idea was organizations or groups or individuals can get that organized, can do it, and we will review it.

Right now, I'm really not anticipating, and this is just a guess, I'm not anticipating more than several hours' worth of that type of testimony. And if we have

several hours of that type of testimony, yes, we will watch them. We will review them, and it will become part of the record, absolutely.

What we will do if we get several thousand hours, I don't know. We will be back and talk about and figure it out. But I do anticipate that we will probably get anyway from several dozen or so folks that want to say what they want to say for a few minutes or so, and that will total a couple of hours, and that's workable. If we get something that's not workable, we will just take it one step at a time.

Ms. Hardesty, does that give you a sufficient answer?

MS. HARDESTY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Ms. Curphey.

MS. CURPHEY: Your Honor, if I may. In relation to several hours of testimony, I don't know how much time we have scheduled on the 18th.

THE COURT: All day. We are going to keep going until we're done. If we get lots of people that want to be heard, we're going to keep going until we're done. I do think that if we hit eleven o'clock, twelve o'clock, 1:00 in the afternoon, and nobody else is here that wants to be heard, I may close the hearing. Thus, if someone comes at four o'clock expecting to be heard and didn't

send any notice, they may be out of luck. If we get a lot of people, we're going to keep going until we are done.

MS. CURPHEY: Thank you, Your Honor. That's what I wanted to clarify.

THE COURT: Please state your name.

MS. TERRELL: Thank you, Your Honor. I am

Dr. Audrey Terrell, President for the Portland NAACP. I

just wanted to, No. 1, inform you of my presence in the

room and also the following process: It will be the

intent of the NAACP to have some level of educational

forums on this particular hearing. So as Jo Ann said, as

simplistic as we can make it, so we can have some

feedback. Thank you for this opportunity.

THE COURT: Excellent. Thank you for doing that.

All right. Anyone else? Seeing no hands, I am prepared now to enter the order setting notice and procedures for the fairness hearing with the exhibits, as modified per the discussions in this hearing. We will make those modifications right now. We will then issue the order electronically on the Court's system. I am sure that will be done before the end of today.

Then I will also have my court staff send to the counsel for all four parties here copies of these documents, after they have been corrected, so you can do

whatever you want with them, in terms of how you further distribute them, put them on your website, and we will do our best to get this up on the Court's website as soon as possible.

Again, I commend all counsel and their clients for their diligent efforts to bring this together. I thank all members of the public for the input that you provided so far, and I look forward to receiving more input on the fairness, reasonableness, or adequacy of the proposed settlement agreement on April 18th, 2014 at 9:00 a.m. in this courtroom.

Let me begin by asking the Government now, any further matters that you believe I need to address at this hearing?

MR. GEISSLER: Yes, Your Honor, two other matters. I believe it was February 18th, not April 18th, for the order.

THE COURT: I see February 18th everywhere. Am I mistaken?

MR. GEISSLER: You said April.

THE COURT: The fairness hearing is February 18th, 2014, 9:00 a.m., in this courtroom.

MR. GEISSLER: Then there is one other matter.

Yesterday, the City Council approved -- and I believe the

City may speak to this -- an agreement between the

United States, the Portland Police Association, and the City wherein the Portland Police Association withdrew its objections to the settlement agreement.

I believe we wanted to raise two things with the Court: One is the withdrawing of the objections. It merits a representation from the PPA, and I thank the PPA for their hard work in reaching this point.

The second is, there is a provision in this agreement, if there is disagreement later, we would first seek to consult with one another, and then if that fails, to come to the Court for a resolution of that disagreement. That stated, we are asking the Court not to enter this agreement as an order, nor to grant tempore mature, nor to subject it to a fairness hearing in and of itself. But since it does ask the Court to take action, we would like the Court to be aware of that potential action.

THE COURT: I think it would be a good idea, for the completeness of the file, for you to all file that as a formal document, even if it is just a notice to the Court, with the Court's formal docket system, unless there is a good reason not to do it. But if there is not a good reason not to do it, I think the PPA, or someone, should just simply file that with the Court, maybe as a notice of withdrawal of objections by PPA and other related issues,

or something like that.

MR. GEISSLER: Yes, Your Honor. From my understanding, the United States and the other parties agree that this side agreement does not change a settlement agreement such that there is no need to consider whether or not the settlement agreement is modified by this agreement.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. GEISSLER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So I was asking if there was anything else that anyone believe I need to address at this hearing.

From the City, anything else?

MS. OSOINACH: I appreciate Mr. Geissler clarifying that. With that, there is nothing further.

THE COURT: From the police association?

MS. KARIA: Nothing further, Your Honor. I do want to acknowledge, for the record, that the PPA, pursuant to its memorandum agreement, has withdrawn its objections. Per the Court's direction, we will file a notice in the docket.

THE COURT: Thank you. Very good.

Anything further that I should address from the perspective of the Albina Ministerial Alliance Coalition for Justice and Police Reform?

MS. CURPHEY: I have one question now, in light of the decision to enter the agreement between the PPA, their side agreement. The AMA has a collaborative agreement as well with the Department of Justice and the City that doesn't require the Court to take action, but to the extent that you want a complete file, perhaps you want the collaborative agreement entered as well.

THE COURT: Here is my thinking on it, and I will leave this to you all. If you want to enter that in the Court's file, you are certainly welcome to do so. I have no objection to that. It is fine. If you have a reason for not doing it, then fine. You don't have to do it.

The reason I wanted it entered in the record, with respect to the police association, if there is a possibility that something may result in a request for court assistance down the road, I wanted a comprehensive record on that issue. If that's not part of the Albina Ministerial Coalition's agreement, then I will leave it up to you all whether you want to enter that into the record or not.

MS. CURPHEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you all very much. If I say the month correctly now, we will get this right. I look forward to seeing you all on February 18th, 2014,

```
31
     9:00 a.m.
 1
 2
                We will be in recess.
 3
                COUNSEL: Thank you, Your Honor.
                (Court adjourned.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

--000--I certify, by signing below, that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled cause. A transcript without an original signature, conformed signature, or digitally signed signature is not certified. /s/ Dennis W. Apodaca December 30, 2014 DENNIS W. APODACA, RDR, RMR, FCRR, CRR DATE Official Court Reporter